

# RatingsDirect®

---

**Research Update:**

## **New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable**

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Anthony Walker, Melbourne (61) 3 9631 2019; [anthony.walker@spglobal.com](mailto:anthony.walker@spglobal.com)

**Secondary Contact:**

Liesl Saldanha, Singapore (65) 6216-1079; [liesl.saldanha@spglobal.com](mailto:liesl.saldanha@spglobal.com)

### **Table Of Contents**

---

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria

Related Research

Ratings List

## Research Update:

# New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Stable

## Overview

- The ratings on New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ltd. (LGFA) reflect its exceptional asset quality and market position, and our assessment that there is an extremely high likelihood of extraordinary support would be forthcoming from the New Zealand government, in a distress scenario.
- We are affirming our 'AA+/A-1+' local currency long- and short-term ratings and 'AA/A-1+' foreign currency long- and short-term ratings on LGFA.
- The stable outlook reflects our view that LGFA's critical role and very important link to the New Zealand Government will remain unchanged.

## Rating Action

On Sept. 25, 2017, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA+/A-1+' local currency long- and short-term ratings and 'AA/A-1+' foreign currency long- and short-term ratings on New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ltd. (LGFA). The outlook remains stable.

## Rationale

Our ratings are based on LGFA's stand-alone credit profile (SACP) of 'aa-' and our view of the extremely high likelihood that the New Zealand government (the Crown) will provide extraordinary support to LGFA in a stress scenario.

Our ratings reflect LGFA's sole focus on lending to the high credit-quality New Zealand local government sector, its strong market position, exceptional credit quality, and increasing borrower base. Offsetting these factors are LGFA's reliance on wholesale funding, with some maturity concentration, and borrower concentration in its loan portfolio.

In accordance with our criteria on government-related entities, we base our view of there being an extremely high likelihood of extraordinary support in a stress scenario on our assessment of LGFA's:

- Very important role in meeting the Crown's key economic objectives; LGFA is the main capital funding source for the New Zealand local government sector. LGFA also deepens New Zealand's capital markets, provides an additional source of liquidity for domestic banks, and delivers cost savings for participating local governments. Therefore, a default by LGFA

would have a major impact on the Crown and the New Zealand economy.

- Integral link with the Crown. LGFA's enabling legislation allows the Crown to lend money to LGFA if it is in the public interest to do so, or to meet a temporary shortfall in a timely manner. In addition, we believe LGFA enjoys a special public status in New Zealand, with the Crown extending a committed liquidity facility to LGFA.

LGFA was established in December 2011 to provide debt funding to New Zealand local councils. It does so by raising debt funding in the wholesale capital markets. Its main objectives are to provide more favorable pricing than what councils can achieve individually, and to enable councils to access longer-dated borrowings. LGFA's single purpose of providing debt funding to New Zealand local councils is enshrined in its shareholder agreement. The New Zealand government holds 11% of LGFA's share capital and participating New Zealand local councils hold the rest.

We view New Zealand's institutional framework, which governs the relationship between the Crown and the local councils, to be extremely predictable and supportive, and one of the strongest in the world (see "New Zealand Councils' High Governance Standards Ensure Strong Financial Management, Transparency, And Long-Term Planning," published Nov. 30, 2015). The Crown's audit office audits New Zealand local governments' financial plans and annual reports, and the Crown monitors the financial sustainability of the sector.

LGFA's credit quality is exceptional, reflecting all loans being neither past due nor impaired since the agency's inception. The aggregate credit quality of New Zealand local governments is strong, underpinned by the institutional framework. LGFA's borrower base has become more granular over the years, as more local councils join. However, borrower concentration exists, with the two largest borrowers making up more than half of the loans outstanding. The largest borrower, Auckland Council, accounts for about a third of the loans outstanding.

LGFA is entirely wholesale-funded and therefore is exposed to disruptions to the capital markets. LGFA has only issued domestically in New Zealand dollars and therefore is not exposed to offshore and foreign-currency risk. There is some maturity concentration in LGFA's funding profile due to existing issues being conducted in the same maturities as New Zealand government bonds to tap liquidity. Refinancing risk is low because LGFA's bonds are eligible for repurchase with the Reserve Bank of New Zealand and attract a low haircut.

We expect LGFA's earnings to remain modest, reflecting its objective of reducing funding costs for New Zealand local councils, and the overlap between LGFA's borrower base and shareholders. LGFA aims to minimize its net interest margin to a level that will cover its cost base while paying a return to shareholders.

LGFA's capital levels are low and reflective of its earnings profile and purpose. A large portion of its core capital base consists of uncalled capital

held by existing local council shareholders and can be paid up if LGFA requests. In addition, notes are issued to each local council that borrows from LGFA. The notes are subordinated debt instruments that can be converted into redeemable shares at LGFA's request.

## **Liquidity**

Liquidity risk generally has been managed through broadly matching on-lending to New Zealand local councils with LGFA's debt issuance; however, bespoke lending does increase asset-liability mismatches and potential liquidity risk. The LGFA is well placed to manage these risks because it has sufficient balance-sheet liquidity to meet anticipated drawdowns, as well as debt and operational costs. Also, it can access a committed liquidity facility provided by the New Zealand Debt Management Office.

## **Outlook**

The stable outlook reflects our view that LGFA's role and link to the Crown remains broadly stable and its SACP will not deteriorate. This will ensure that there will be at least a very high likelihood of extraordinary government support in a stress scenario.

## **Downside scenario**

Downward momentum is unlikely in the next two years, but could arise from a weakening in either LGFA's SACP or its role or link to the Crown. Specifically, LGFA's SACP could weaken and lead to a lowering of its rating if its credit risk rises. Such a scenario could occur if we were to downgrade a major borrower. Further, the rating could come under pressure if we assess a substantial weakening of LGFA's link with the Crown if the Crown withdraws its committed liquidity facility to the LGFA. Negative ratings action on the sovereign would also result in the action being mirrored on our ratings and outlook on LGFA.

## **Upside scenario**

Our ratings on LGFA are equalized with those on the sovereign, and so we consider a higher rating to be remote within the next two years.

## **Related Criteria**

- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Finance Companies: Rating Finance Companies, March 18, 2004

## Related Research

- Public Finance System Overview: New Zealand's Institutional Framework For Local And Regional Governments, Dec. 11, 2016

## Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ltd.

Issuer Credit Rating

Foreign Currency	AA/Stable/A-1+
Local Currency	AA+/Stable/A-1+
Analytical Factors	
Local Currency	aa-

New Zealand Local Government Funding Agency Ltd.

Senior Unsecured	AA+
Short-Term Debt	A-1+

S&P Global Ratings Australia Pty Ltd holds Australian financial services license number 337565 under the Corporations Act 2001. S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings and related research are not intended for and must not be distributed to any person in Australia other than a wholesale client (as defined in Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act).

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) and at [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.